

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/064,459	Applicant(s) YONG ET AL.	
	Examiner Leland R. Jorgensen	Art Unit 2675	

All Participants:

(1) Leland R. Jorgensen.

(2) Winston Hsu.

Date of Interview: 17 February 2005

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 10:46 PM EST

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A


Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner attempted to phone Winston Hsu but received message that phone was not in use. Examiner emailed Mr. Hsu and Mr. Hsu replied that the applicant had requested that the application be abandoned. See attached email correspondence.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


DENNIS-DOON CHOW
PRIMARY EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)